Case 3:15-cr-00273-WHA Document 10 Filed 06/10/15 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 15-273 WHA
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Lovie Martin Villance Defendant.	
by the continuance outweigh the best interest of	ord on Jove 10, 2015, the Court excludes time under the to July 14, 2015 and finds that the ends of justice served of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance v See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (i).
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to	mplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of f the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact o expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ablished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance v counsel's other scheduled case See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv).
	would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time tion, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	1 (4)
DATED: <u>June 1</u> 0, 2015	JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendance	nt Assistant United States Attorney